

Comment on “Amplitude of waves in the Kelvin-wave cascade” (Pis'ma v ZhETF 111, 462 (2020))

E. B. Sonin¹⁾

Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Givat Ram, 9190401 Jerusalem, Israel

Submitted 9 April 2020
Resubmitted 9 April 2020
Accepted 16 April 2020

DOI: 10.31857/S1234567820100109

Eltsov and L’vov [1] derived the relation between the amplitude of Kelvin waves and the energy flux in the Kelvin-wave cascade. This returns us to the rather old, but still unresolved dispute on the role of the tilt symmetry and the locality in the Kelvin-wave cascade (see Sec. 14.6 of the book [2] for references).

Kozik and Svistunov [3] investigated the Kelvin wave cascade using the Boltzmann equation for the Kelvin modes. They took into account the weak 6-waves interaction and used the locality condition similar to that in the classical Kolmogorov cascade: the energy flux in the space of wave numbers k depends only on the energy density at k of the same order of magnitude. L’vov and Nazarenko [4] challenged their analysis arguing that the cascade is connected to the 4-wave interaction despite the latter breaks the rotational invariance and does depend on the tilt of the vortex line with respect to some direction. In the general case of the n -wave interaction the expression connecting the energy flux ϵ in the k space and the energy density E_k is [2, 5]

$$E_k \sim \kappa^2 \Lambda \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} k^{-\frac{n+1}{n-1}}. \quad (1)$$

Here κ is the circulation quantum and $\Lambda = \ln \frac{\ell}{a_0}$ is the large logarithm, which depends on the ratio of the intervortex distance or the vortex line curvature radius ℓ and the vortex core radius a_0 . We use notations of Eltsov and L’vov [1] and their energy normalization. Here and further on we ignore all numerical factors in our expressions as not important for our qualitative analysis.

At $n = 6$ Eq. (1) gives the spectrum $E_k \propto k^{-7/5}$ of Kozik and Svistunov [3], while at $n = 4$ one obtains

$$E_k \sim \kappa^2 \Lambda \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} k^{-5/3}. \quad (2)$$

This agrees with the spectrum $E_k \propto k^{-5/3}$ of L’vov and Nazarenko [4].

However, L’vov and Nazarenko denied not only symmetry arguments, but also the assumption of locality. Since they believed that the Kelvin mode-mode interaction *must* depend on the tilt of the vortex line, they concluded that the interaction vertices in the Boltzmann equation are determined by divergent integrals and the locality assumption is invalid. Meanwhile, Eq. (1), as well as its particular case Eq. (2), was derived assuming locality. Instead of Eq. (2), the nonlocal scenario of L’vov and Nazarenko yields [6]

$$E_k \sim \frac{\kappa^2 \Lambda}{\Psi^{2/3}} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} k^{-5/3}. \quad (3)$$

Here the dimensionless parameter

$$\Psi \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda \kappa^2} \int_{k_{\min}}^{\infty} E_k dk \quad (4)$$

takes into account the effect of nonlocality since it is an integral over the whole Kelvin-wave cascade interval in the k space. The lower border of this interval is k_{\min} . From Eqs. (3) and (4) one obtains that

$$\Psi \sim \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa^3 k_{\min}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{5}}. \quad (5)$$

So the nonlocality does not affect the dependence on k but does change the dependence on the energy flux ϵ .

The outcome of the nonlocal scenario is not clear without an evaluation of the minimal wave number k_{\min} . In the theory of quantum turbulence k_{\min} is the wave number $\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}$, at which the crossover from the classical Kolmogorov cascade to the Kelvin-wave cascade occurs. Here \mathcal{L} is the vortex line length per unit volume in Vinen’s theory of the 3D vortex tangle. On the other hand, in agreement with Eltsov and L’vov [1], the parameter Ψ determines also the ratio of the vortex line length increased by the Kelvin waves participating in the cascade to the length of the straight vortex in the ground state. The crossover is determined by the condition that this

¹⁾e-mail: sonin@mail.huji.ac.il

ratio is on the order of unity [2]. If $\Psi \sim 1$ the energy density Eq. (3) obtained from the nonlocal scenario does not differ from the energy density Eq. (2) derived under the assumption of locality. Maybe the reason for the insensitivity of the Kelvin-wave cascade to nonlocal effects deserves a further investigation, but at least it is premature to discard the locality assumption as physically irrelevant.

Also I would like to comment the statement of Eltsov and L'vov [1] that “finally the L'vov–Nazarenko model got supported by numerical simulations [7, 8]”. This can be interpreted as persisting on the previous claims of the proponents of the L'vov–Nazarenko scenario that the scenario is universal despite it breaks the tilt symmetry. The author of the present Comment thinks that it is a bad idea to check the laws of symmetry experimentally or by numerical simulations. If an experiment or a numerical simulation is in conflict with the symmetry law (e.g., the energy conservation law based also on symmetry) the experiment or the simulation must be reconsidered, but not the other way around. Suppose that there is a theory based on the crystal cubic symmetry, but they do experiments with parallelepiped samples. Disagreement with the original theory does not mean that the theory must be discarded. This does mean that one should do experiments at the conditions when the sample shape is not important, e.g., at spatial scales much less than the sample size. In numerical simulations [7, 8] the tilt symmetry was broken since the simulations dealt with the vortex stretched between two parallel surfaces. Probably the spectrum compatible with the tilt invariance could be observed at shorter scales (larger wave numbers k).

In contrast to the case of the 3D vortex tangle, in numerical simulations of the Kelvin-wave cascade in a straight vortex, the condition $\Psi \sim 1$ is not obligatory, since k_{\min} and the energy flux ϵ can be chosen inde-

pendently. All scenarios of the Kelvin-wave cascade discussed above used the theory of weak turbulence valid strictly speaking only if $\Psi \ll 1$. If $\Psi \gg 1$ the turbulence is strong and the spectrum is given by Eq. (1) at $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is the spectrum $E_k \sim 1/k$ predicted by Vinen et al. [9]. However, the condition $\Psi \sim 1$ should be imposed on the simulation parameters if one wants to reach better imitation of processes in the 3D vortex tangle.

In summary: (i) The analysis of Eltsov and L'vov [1] demonstrates that the possible nonlocality of the energy flux in the Kelvin-wave cascade has no essential effect on the Kelvin-wave cascade in the 3D vortex tangle expected by L'vov and Nazarenko. (ii) There is no conflict between the Kozik–Svistunov and the L'vov–Nazarenko scenarios. They are valid for different external conditions.

I thank Vladimir Eltsov and Victor L'vov for useful remarks.

-
1. V. B. Eltsov and V. S. L'vov, Pis'ma v ZhETF **111**, 462 (2020) [JETP Lett. **111** (2020), to be published].
 2. E. B. Sonin, *Dynamics of quantised vortices in superfluids*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016).
 3. E. Kozik and B. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 035301 (2004).
 4. V. S. L'vov and S. Nazarenko, Pis'ma v ZhETF **91**, 464 (2010) [JETP Lett. **91**, 428 (2010)].
 5. E. B. Sonin, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 104516 (2012).
 6. L. Boué, R. Dasgupta, J. Laurie, V. L'vov, S. Nazarenko, and I. Procaccia, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 064516 (2011).
 7. G. Krstulovic, Phys. Rev. E **86**, 055301 (2012).
 8. A. W. Baggaley and J. Laurie, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 014504 (2014).
 9. W. F. Vinen, M. Tsubota, and A. Mitani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 135301 (2003).