Pis'ma v ZhETF, vol.62, iss.1, pp.68 - 74

EFFECTIVE ACTION IN THEORY OF QUASI-BALLISTIC DISORDERED CONDUCTORS

B.A.Muzykantskii, D.E.Khmelnitskii

Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK

L.D.Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics RAS 117334 Moscow, Russia

Submitted 31 May 1995

We suggest an effective field theory for disorderd conductors, which describes quantum kinetics of ballistically propagating electrons. This theory contains non-linear σ-model [1] as its long wave limit.

1. The non-linear σ-model is proven to be a useful tool in the description of various properties of disordered conductors. Any property, such as conductivity, averaged over different realizations of the random potential can be presented in this model as a statistical average with the free energy

$$F = \frac{\pi\nu}{8}\int d\mathbf{r}\,\mathrm{str}[D(\nabla Q)^2 + 2i\omega\Lambda Q], \qquad Z = \int\limits_{Q^2=1} \mathcal{D}Q e^{-F}. \tag{1}$$

The functional integral is taken over the 8×8 super-matrix $Q(\mathbf{r})$ which is subjected to the constraint $Q^2 = 1$. Here and below we use the super-matrix version [1] of the nonlinear σ model.

This discription is valid under the following two conditions:

i) the Fermi wave length $\lambda_F = \hbar/p_F$ is much smaller than the mean free path l, i.e. $p_F l/\hbar \gg 1$;

ii) the typical wave vector q of the super-matrix fluctuations is smaller than $1/l$, i.e. $ql \ll 1$.

These conditions mean that (i) the semi-classical description is applicable to the electrons with the Fermi energy, and (ii) their motion is described by the diffusion equation. There are physical situations when the condition (i) is fulfilled, while the condition (ii) is not and electrons propagate ballistically. This happens, for example in a metallic grain with a diffusive boundary scattering if the bulk mean free path l is much larger than the grain size L, i.e. $l \gg L$.

In this letter we present a generalized version of the model (1) whose validity is no longer restricted by condition (ii). The generalized partition function correctly accounts for the fluctuations with wave vectors $q \sim 1/l$ and therefore can be used for the description of systems with ballistic electron motion.

We begin with a general expression for the free energy which is obtained after averaging over the random potential, the Hubbard–Stratonovich decomposition of the quartic form and integration over the electron degrees of freedom (see [1] for details and notations)

$$F = -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{str}\ln[-i\hat{K}] + \frac{\pi\nu}{8\tau}\int \mathrm{str}\,Q^2(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r}, \quad Z = \int \mathcal{D}Q e^{-F}, \tag{2}$$

$$\hat{K} = E - \hat{H}_0 + \frac{\omega}{2}\Lambda + \frac{i}{2\tau}Q, \quad \hat{H}_0 = \frac{(-i\hbar\nabla)^2}{2m}. \tag{3}$$

This expression appears at a preliminary stage in the derivation of Eq. (1) and the supermatrix Q is not yet restricted by the constraint $Q^2 = 1$.

Equation (2), in principle, could have served as a required generalisation of the free energy (1). However, it is too detailed being valid for the super-matrices Q fluctuating with arbitrary wave vectors q. It will be simplified in order to describe the small q fluctuations only ($q \ll p_F/\hbar$). The first step in the simplification is the same as in the derivation of the quantum kinetic equation in the Keldysh approach (see, for example, [2]).

2. The Green function $G(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}'|Q)$ of the operator $\hat{K}$ obeys the equations

$$\left[E - \hat{H}_0(\mathrm{r}) + \frac{\omega}{2}\Lambda + \frac{i}{2\tau}Q(\mathrm{r})\right] G(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}'|Q) = i\delta(\mathrm{r} - \mathrm{r}'), \tag{4}$$

$$\left[E - \hat{H}_0(\mathrm{r}')\right] G(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}'|Q) + G(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}'|Q)\left[\frac{\omega}{2}\Lambda + \frac{i}{2\tau}Q(\mathrm{r}')\right] = i\delta(\mathrm{r} - \mathrm{r}'). \tag{5}$$

Subtracting Eq. (5) from Eq. (4) and going to the Wigner representation

$$G(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}') = \int (d\mathrm{p})\, \tilde{G}\left(\frac{\mathrm{r} + \mathrm{r}'}{2}, \mathrm{p}\right) e^{i\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{r} - \mathrm{r}')} \tag{6}$$

we can find after the integration over the modulus of the momentum p an equation for

$$g_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int d\xi \tilde{G}\left(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{n}\frac{\xi}{v_F}\right), \qquad \mathrm{n}^2 = 1. \tag{7}$$

This equation can be presented in the form

$$2v_F \mathrm{n}\frac{\partial g_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r})}{\partial \mathrm{r}} = \left[i\omega\Lambda - \frac{Q}{\tau}, g_{\mathrm{n}}\right], \tag{8}$$

which resembles the quantum kinetic equation in the Eilenberger form [3]. The matrix $g_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r})$ in this equation has the meaning of distribution function at a coordinate r and momentum $\mathrm{p} = \mathrm{n} \cdot p_F$.

Being linear, Eq. (8) does not define g_{n} uniquely and must be supplied with the normalisation condition [2]

$$g_{\mathrm{n}}^2 = 1; \qquad \mathrm{Tr} g_{\mathrm{n}} = 0. \tag{9}$$

The matrix $Q(\mathrm{r})$ is invariant with respect to the charge conjugation

$$\bar{Q} \equiv CQ^TC^T = Q, \tag{10}$$

where $\hat{C}$ is a certain matrix (see [1]), $C^TC = 1$. Taking the charge conjugate of Eq. (4) and using Eq. (10), we see that $\bar{G}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}')$ obeys Eq. (5). Therefore

$$\bar{G}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}') = G(\mathrm{r}', \mathrm{r}), \quad \bar{\tilde{G}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{p}) = G(\mathrm{r}, -\mathrm{p}), \quad \bar{g}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r}) = g_{-\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r}). \tag{11}$$

Thus, Eq. (8) with the normalisation condition (9) and the symmetries (11) is a long wave limit of Eqs. (4,5). Our goal is to perform analogous simplification of the free energy (2).

3. An intermediate step is finding a functional Φ, which reaches its extrema for solutions of Eq. (8). This equation resembles the equation of motion of a magnetic moment M in external magnetic field B:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial t} = [\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{B}], \qquad \mathbf{M}^2 = 1. \tag{12}$$

The action for this problem has the form (see, for instance, [4])

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^t dt' \mathbf{B}\mathbf{M}(t') + \int_0^t dt' \int_0^1 du \tilde{\mathbf{M}} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{M}}}{\partial t} \times \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{M}}}{\partial u}\right], \tag{13}$$

where the function $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(t, u)$ is introduced as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(t, 0) = \mathbf{M}_0; \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{M}}(t, 1) = \mathbf{M}(t). \tag{14}$$

The second term in Eq. (13) does not depend upon the choice of $\mathbf{M}_0$ and values of $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(t, u)$ for $0 < u < 1$, provided $\mathbf{M}(0) = \mathbf{M}(t)$.

Following this analogy we present Φ in the form

$$\Phi = \int d\mathbf{r}\, \mathrm{str}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\tau}Q(\mathbf{r}) - i\omega\Lambda\right)\langle g(\mathbf{r})\rangle\right] + \frac{v_F}{2}\mathcal{W}\{g_\mathbf{n}\}, \tag{15}$$

$$\langle g(\mathbf{r})\rangle = \int \frac{d\Omega_\mathbf{n}}{4\pi} g_\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}), \tag{16}$$

$$\mathcal{W}\{g_\mathbf{n}\} = \int d\mathbf{r} \int \frac{d\Omega_\mathbf{n}}{4\pi} \int_0^1 du\, \mathrm{str}\, \tilde{g}_\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}, u)\left[\frac{\partial \tilde{g}_\mathbf{n}}{\partial u}, \mathbf{n}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}_\mathbf{n}}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\right], \tag{17}$$

$$\tilde{g}_\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}, 0) = \Lambda; \qquad \tilde{g}_\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}, 1) = g_\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}). \tag{18}$$

The functional derivative $\delta\Phi/\delta g_\mathbf{n}$ must be taken with constraint (9) which guaranties that $g_\mathbf{n}\delta g_\mathbf{n} + \delta g_\mathbf{n} g_\mathbf{n} = 0$ and an arbitrary variation $\delta g_\mathbf{n}$ has the form $\delta g_\mathbf{n} = [g_\mathbf{n}, a_\mathbf{n}]$. As a result

$$\delta\Phi = \int d\mathbf{r} \int \frac{d\Omega_\mathbf{n}}{4\pi} \mathrm{str}\left(\left[\frac{1}{\tau}Q(\mathbf{r}) - i\omega\Lambda, g_\mathbf{n}\right] a_\mathbf{n}\right) + \frac{v_F}{2}\delta\mathcal{W}, \tag{19}$$

where

$$\delta\mathcal{W} = 4\int d\mathbf{r} \int \frac{d\Omega_\mathbf{n}}{4\pi} \mathrm{str}\left(\mathbf{n}\frac{\partial g_\mathbf{n}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} a_\mathbf{n}\right). \tag{20}$$

Thus, Eq. (15) gives the required functional.

4. Now we are prepared to show that in the limit $l \gg \lambda_F$ the partition function (2) reduces to the form

$$Z = \int\limits_{g_\mathbf{n}^2 = 1} \mathcal{D}g_\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-F}, \tag{21}$$

$$F = \frac{\pi\nu}{4}\left[\int d\mathbf{r}\, \mathrm{str}\left\{i\omega\Lambda\langle g(\mathbf{r})\rangle - \frac{1}{2\tau}\langle g(\mathbf{r})\rangle^2\right\} - \frac{v_F}{2}\cdot\mathcal{W}\{g_\mathbf{n}\}\right], \tag{22}$$

$$\mathcal{W}\{g_\mathbf{n}\} = \int d\mathbf{r} \int \frac{d\Omega_\mathbf{n}}{4\pi} \int_0^1 du\, \mathrm{str}\, \tilde{g}_\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}, u)\left[\frac{\partial \tilde{g}_\mathbf{n}}{\partial u}, \mathbf{n}\frac{\partial \tilde{g}_\mathbf{n}}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\right]. \tag{23}$$

Indeed, the following indentity is valid

$$Z_1\{Q\} \equiv \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{str}\ln(-i\hat{K})\right] = \int\limits_{g_{\mathbf{n}}^2=1} \mathcal{D}g_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) \exp\left[\frac{\pi\nu}{4}\Phi\right] \equiv Z_2\{Q\}. \qquad (24)$$

The free energy $\pi\nu\Phi/4$ in the partition function Z_2 has a deep minimum for $g_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r})$ equal to $g_{\mathbf{n}}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}|Q)$ which is the solution of Eq. (8). With the saddle point precision

$$\frac{\delta Z_2\{Q\}}{\delta Q(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\pi\nu}{4\tau}\int \langle g(\mathbf{r})\rangle \exp\left[-\frac{\pi\nu}{4\tau}\Phi\right]\mathcal{D}g_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{\pi\nu}{4\tau}\langle g_{\mathbf{n}}^{(0)}\rangle \cdot Z_2\{Q\}. \qquad (25)$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{\delta Z_1\{Q\}}{\delta Q(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{Z_1\{Q\}}{4\tau}G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\pi\nu}{4\tau}\langle g_{\mathbf{n}}^{(0)}\rangle \cdot Z_1\{Q\}. \qquad (26)$$

Thus, the functionals $Z_{1,2}\{Q\}$ obey indentical equations. Since $Z_1\{\Lambda\} = Z_2\{\Lambda\} = 1$ the indentity (24) is proven.

Substituting Eq. (24) into Eq. (2) and taking the Gaussian integral over Q, we arrive at the final expression (21–23).

5. For small gradients the free energy (22) reduces to the standard σ-model (1). To show this we expand the matrix $g_{\mathbf{n}}$ into the sum over sperical functions $Y_{L,M}(\mathbf{n})$

$$g_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty}\sum_{M=-L}^{L} g_{L,M}(\mathbf{r})\cdot Y_{L,M}(\mathbf{n})$$

and note that only zero and first harmonics contribute to the functional integral (21):

$$g_{\mathbf{n}} = Q(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r})\cdot\mathbf{n} - \frac{Q\mathbf{J}^2}{6}. \qquad (27)$$

The constraint $g^2 = 1$ now reads

$$Q^2 = 1, \qquad Q\mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J}Q = 0. \qquad (28)$$

Substituting the Eq. (27) into Eqs. (21–23) and using conditions (28) we obtain the partition function in the form

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}Q \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{J} e^{-F(Q,\mathbf{J})}, \quad F(Q,\mathbf{J}) = \frac{\pi\nu}{4}\int d\mathbf{r}\,\mathrm{str}\{i\omega\Lambda Q + \frac{\mathbf{J}^2}{6\tau} - \frac{v_F}{3}(\nabla Q)Q\mathbf{J}\}. \qquad (29)$$

After the Gaussian integration over J in Eq. (29) we arrive, finally, at Eq.(1).

6. Equations (21–23) can be generalised in order to describe the ballistic motion in the presence of external fields. In a general case the electron is described by the classical hamiltonian $H(p_i, x_i)$ and the kinetic equation (8) has the form (see [2]):

$$\{H(x,p), g(x,p)\} = \left[\left(\frac{i\omega\Lambda}{2} - \frac{Q}{2\tau}\right), g(p,x)\right] \qquad (30)$$

where $\{H, g\}$ denotes the Poisson brackets

$$\{H(x,p), g(x,p)\} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}.$$

Equation (30) is still the first order differential equation and the generalisation of expression (22) for the free energy has the form

$$F = \frac{\pi}{4}\int dx_i dp_i \delta(E - H(p,x))\,\mathrm{str}\left\{i\omega\Lambda g - \frac{g\langle g\rangle}{2\tau} - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 du\tilde{g}(x,p,u)\left[\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u},\{H,\tilde{g}\}\right]\right\}, \tag{31}$$

where

$$\langle g(x)\rangle = \frac{1}{\nu}\int dp_i'\delta(E - H(p',x))g(x,p').$$

7. As an application of Eq. (31), let us consider the derivation of the Pruisken action [5] for a two-dimensional electron gas in a perpendicular magnetic field B. To simplify the treatment, we consider only the case of classically weak field

$$\Omega_c\tau \ll 1; \qquad \Omega_c = \frac{eB}{mc}, \tag{32}$$

when there is no Landau quantisation and the density of states ν is a constant. Nevertheless, we take into account that in the presence of magnetic field the symmetry of g-matrix is reduced, and g belongs to the unitary ensemble. The Poisson brackets in magnetic field are

$$\{H,g\} = v_F\mathrm{n}\frac{\partial g\mathrm{n}}{\partial \mathrm{r}} + \Omega_c\left[\mathrm{n}\times\frac{\partial g\mathrm{n}}{\partial \mathrm{n}}\right] \tag{33}$$

and the free energy (31) has the following form

$$F = \frac{\pi\nu}{4}\int d\mathrm{r}\,\mathrm{str}\left\{i\omega\Lambda\langle g\rangle - \frac{\langle g\rangle^2}{2\tau} - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 du\left\langle\tilde{g}(x,p,u)\left[\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial u}, v_F\mathrm{n}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial\mathrm{r}} + \Omega_c\left[\mathrm{n}\times\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial\mathrm{n}}\right]\right]\right\rangle\right\}. \tag{34}$$

In the diffusive limit the expansion (27) can be used, which leads to the following expression for the free energy as a functional of Q and J;

$$Z = \int\mathcal{D}Q\int\mathcal{D}\mathrm{J}e^{-F(Q,\mathrm{J})},$$

$$F(Q,\mathrm{J}) = \frac{\pi\nu}{4}\int d\mathrm{r}\,\mathrm{str}\{i\omega\Lambda Q + \frac{\mathrm{J}^2}{4\tau} - \frac{v_F}{2}(\nabla Q)Q\mathrm{J} - \frac{\Omega_c}{2}Q\,[\mathrm{J}\times\mathrm{J}]\}. \tag{35}$$

The last term in the free energy (35) does not vanish because the components of the matrix J do not commute. Under the conditions (32), the Gaussian integration over J may be performed, with the vector product in Eq. (35) as a perturbation, to yeild, finally, the free energy in the form

$$F = \frac{\pi}{8e^2}\int d\mathrm{r}\,\mathrm{str}\left(\sigma_{xx}(\nabla Q)^2 + 2\sigma_{xy}Q[\nabla_x Q,\nabla_y Q]\right), \tag{36}$$

where

$$\sigma_{xx} = e^2\nu D, \qquad \sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{xx}\Omega_c\tau. \tag{37}$$

8. There is a topological question, related to the $\mathcal{W}$-term in the free energy (22): is it always possible to construct the functional $\mathcal{W}\{g\}$, whose variation is given by Eq. (20)? The prescription (17) gives the $\mathcal{W}$-term for the functions

$g(\mathrm{r})$, which are close to $g_0(\mathrm{r}) \equiv \Lambda$. The question is whether such a functional can be defined globaly.

The answer depends upon the topology of the constant energy surface $H(\mathrm{r},\mathrm{p}) = E$ in the phase space $\{x_i, p_i\}$. For the cases of billiards and space dimension $d > 1$ the functional $\mathcal{W}$ does exist.

For a one-dimensional system $\mathcal{W}$ can only be found as a multivalued functional, just as the action (13). This causes no trouble, provided $\pi\hbar\nu v_F$ is an integer. This integer exactly equal to the wave-guide channel number in the wire.

An accurate mathematical formulation and the proof of these statesments will be presented elsewhere.

9. So far, we have considered only the systems with finite amount of disorder. One can see, however, that the expression (31) remains meaningful even as $\tau \to \infty$. Therefore, we expect that the free energy $F_\infty = F(\tau \to \infty)$ describes a clean system with the Hamiltonian H. As a consequence, the partition function $Z_\infty = \int \mathcal{D}g \exp(-F_\infty)$ with the proper source terms gives the level statistics.

In the low-frequency limit ($\omega \to 0$) only the zero-mode $g^0(r,p)$ such that $\{H, g^0\} = 0$ contributes to Z_∞. There are two possibilities:

i) the hamiltonian system under cosideration is integrable and there exists a set of integrals of motion $\{I_1, \ldots I_n\}$, $\{H, I_k\} = 0$. Under this condition the energy levels are characterised by the eigenvalues of $\{I_1, \ldots I_n\}$ and do not repel each other. Therefore the level statistics is Poissonian;

ii) the classical dynamics is chaotic and the only integral of motion is energy. In this case the zero-mode is constant in the phase space and Z_∞ is reduced to the form

$$Z_\infty = \int\limits_{g^2=1} \mathcal{D}g \exp\left(-\frac{\pi\nu\omega}{4}\,\mathrm{str}(\Lambda g)\right) \tag{38}$$

which leads to the Wigner–Dyson (WD) level statistics [1].

In the chaotic case deviations form the WD statistics occur for the frequencies larger than the inverse time of flight through the system. These deviations are described by the small fluctations of g about several stationary points Λ_i, similar to what has been recently shown by Andreev and Altshuler (AA) for diffusive systems [7]. In complete agreement with a general AA-conjecture, the deviation from the WD statistics is described by the determinat of some operator. It follows from our consideration that this is the Liovillean operator

$$\hat{L} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}.$$

10. In conclusion, we would like to emphasize that the theory presented here contains the diffusive σ-model as a limiting case and supplies it with the physically motivated regularisation of the infinities at short distances.

We are greatful to B.D.Simons for his question, which inspired us to derive Eq. (36).

---

1. K.B.Efetov, Adv. Phys. **53**, 250 (1984).

2. A.Schmid, In: *Nonequilibrium Superconductivity, Phonons and Kapitza Boundaries*, (1981), Ed. K.E.Gray, Plenum Press, NY, p.423.
3. G.Eilenberger, Z. Phys. **214**, 195 (1968).
4. E.Fradkin, *Field Theories of Condensed Matter Systems*, Addison-Wesley, 1991.
5. A.M.M.Pruisken, Nucl. Phys. **B238**, 277 (1984).
6. B.L.Altshuler and B.I.Shklovskii, Zh. Exp. Theor. Phys. **91**, 220 (1986), [Soviet Phys. JETP **64**, 127 (1986)].
7. A.Andreev and B.L.Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. (1995), submitted.