

ANOMALIES IN THE SPECTRA OF QUANTUM OSCILLATIONS FOR DILUTE TWO-DIMENSIONAL ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS

*S.I.Dorozhkin*¹⁾, *C.J.Emeleus*^{*}, *T.E.Whall*^{*}, *G.Landwehr*⁺, *O.A.Mironov*[▽]

*Institute of Solid State Physics RAS
142432 Chernogolovka, Moscow district, Russia*

^{*}*Department of Physics, University of Warwick
Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom*

⁺*Physikalisches Institut der Universität Würzburg
Am Hubland 97074 Würzburg, Germany*

[▽]*Institute of Radiophysics and Electronics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine
310085 Kharkov, Ukraine*

Submitted 29 August 1995

We have measured magnetotransport characteristics of a two-dimensional hole gas located at a Si/SiGe heterojunction for two carrier concentrations. A notable discrepancy is observed between values of the magnetic level filling factor defined from the periodicity of the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations and values of the Hall conductivity expected for these filling factors. In particular, the sample of lower concentration exhibits a re-entrant transition between the quantum Hall effect state and insulating state. We show that all the observed effects can be described by a model [6] which takes into account long-range potential modulation in a sample, with amplitude comparable to the Fermi energy.

A normal picture of the quantum oscillations for a two-dimensional (2D) electronic system in strong magnetic fields ($\omega_c \tau > 1$) consists of a sequence of integer quantum Hall effect states (IQHESs). Here ω_c is a cyclotron frequency and τ is a relaxation time. In each IQHES, the diagonal magnetoconductivity σ_{xx} is nearly zero while the Hall conductivity σ_{xy} is quantized as $\sigma_{xy} = ie^2/h$, where i is an integer which decreases with increasing magnetic field. It is generally accepted that i is equal to the number of bands of delocalized states under the Fermi level, each one of which is located at a particular magnetic level center.

The first reported deviation from this picture was for a dilute two-dimensional electron gas in a Si-MOSFET [1,2], where the standard sequence of quantum Hall effect states was interrupted by a re-entrant insulating state, with both magnetoconductivities σ_{xx} and σ_{xy} close to zero. A similar observation was reported recently [3,4] for p -channels in Si/SiGe heterostructures. This anomaly is characterized by the non-monotonic dependence of the Hall conductivity σ_{xy} on magnetic field. The somewhat similar effect of magnetic-field-induced delocalization was also observed recently for a dilute two-dimensional electron gas in a GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure [5]. In Ref. [3], another type of anomaly has been pointed out, which is an inconsistency between the number of occupied magnetic levels N and the measured quantized value of $\sigma_{xy} = ie^2/h$, with $N \neq i$ while $N = i$ would be expected. A recent model [6], proposed by one of the authors, can account for such anomalies in terms of percolation phenomena. In the present paper, we

¹⁾e-mail: dorozh@ispp.ac.ru




Fig.1.a - Magnetoresistance per square R_{xx} for sample 1 (in units of $h/e^2 = 25.813$ k Ω) versus magnetic field normal to the sample plane at various temperatures (shown by the curves). The filling factors ν are defined from the R_{xx} minima positions at $T = 1.23$ K. b - Hall resistance R_{xy} versus magnetic field for sample 1. (c) Off-diagonal conductivity σ_{xy} (in units of e^2/h) for sample 1 at $T = 30$ mK. The curve is calculated from the data of Figs.1.a and b

report the evolution with carrier concentration of quantum transport anomalies in p-channels of Si/SiGe heterostructures and show that the observed effects can be described in terms of this model [6].

We have made measurements on three Hall bar samples, fabricated from two different wafers, each one consisting of a Si/SiGe heterostructure epilayer grown on a Si substrate by solid-source molecular beam epitaxy. A detailed discussion of the growth method has been given elsewhere [7]. In brief, both samples contain a buried $\text{Si}_{0.8}\text{Ge}_{0.2}$ layer, which is a quantum well for holes in this system, and each is doped remotely by positioning a Si:B region on the surface of the structure, with some thickness L_s of nominally undoped Si separating the well and doping layer. The two-dimensional hole gas resides in an approximately triangular potential well, sited on the edge of the alloy layer nearest to the dopant atoms. The 2D carrier concentrations in the two wafers differ by a factor of ~ 2 (see below) which is due only to the particular values of L_s (all other structural and doping properties were the same for the two heterostructures). The corresponding low-field Hall mobilities were approximately the same: $\sim 2000 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$. No conduction paths in parallel to the 2D hole gas were present in the samples at liquid helium temperatures. Hall bar samples used for transport measurements were of length 4.70 mm and width 1.00 mm, with a distance of 1.72 mm between the potential probes used for the determination of R_{xx} . Experiments were performed in a ^3He cryostat down to 0.5 K and in a $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ dilution refrigerator down to 30 mK. The sample current was set low enough to avoid any non-ohmic effects.

Temperature evolution of the magnetoresistance per square R_{xx} and the Hall resistance R_{xy} for sample 1 is shown in Figs.1a and b, respectively. The minima of R_{xx} at high temperature ($T = 1.23 \text{ K}$) are periodic in the inverse magnetic field. The filling factors ν defined from this periodicity are shown at the minima and a corresponding carrier concentration is $n_{s1} = 5.3 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Decreasing the temperature leads to a more complicated picture, where the R_{xx} minimum at $\nu = 3$ is replaced by a maximum while minima at higher filling factors keep their position. The Hall resistances at different temperatures are shown in Fig.1b. Positions of integer filling factors in this figure correspond to those in Fig.1a. The magnetic field dependence of the off-diagonal conductivity σ_{xy} calculated from the data of Figs.1a and b is shown in Fig.1c for the lowest temperature $T = 30 \text{ mK}$. Note the following anomalies of σ_{xy} , which can be observed in this figure. (i) σ_{xy} is close to $2e^2/h$ in the magnetic field range corresponding to filling factors between approximately 3.5 and 2. (ii) In the vicinity of $\nu = 2$, σ_{xy} drops from approximately $2e^2/h$ to e^2/h .

The off-diagonal conductivity for sample 2 is shown in Fig. 2 for different angles θ between the magnetic field and the direction normal to the plane of the two-dimensional system. The carrier concentration defined from the oscillation periodicity in this sample is $n_{s2} = 2.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The main anomaly in Fig. 2 is the appearance of the insulating state ($\sigma_{xx} \rightarrow 0$, $\sigma_{xy} \rightarrow 0$) in-between filling factors 3 and 1, which exists beside the quantum Hall effect state with $\sigma_{xy} = e^2/h$. The degree of insulating behaviour is enhanced by magnetic field tilting, a feature which is described and discussed in more detail elsewhere [4].

We now show that the anomalies in σ_{xy} mentioned above can be described formally in terms of a simple model first introduced in Ref.[6]. The applicability of this model to real samples will be addressed below. We choose a model periodic potential in the plane of the two-dimensional system which has the values of $V = 0$




Fig.2. Off-diagonal conductivity σ_{xy} versus normal magnetic field H_z at different angles θ between the magnetic field and the direction normal to the two-dimensional system for sample 2. $T=0.5\text{K}$. Values of the filling factors were defined from the original R_{xx} curves used to calculate σ_{xy}

and $V = 2V_0$ on the white and black squares, respectively, of a chess-board (see inset in Fig.3a). The transition region width δ is much less than the square side length a but large in comparison with the magnetic length $l = (\hbar c/eH)^{1/2}$: $l \ll \delta \ll a$. In this work, we make one adjustment to the model as it was introduced originally [6], which is to use an asymmetric form for the potential in the transition regions. For example, such asymmetry appears if we consider a quadratic variation of the potential $V(r)$ within the transition regions:

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x \leq a/2 - \delta/2 \\ 2V_0(x - a/2 + \delta/2)^2/\delta^2 & a/2 - \delta/2 \leq x \leq a/2 + \delta/2 \\ 2V_0 & a/2 + \delta/2 \leq x \leq a. \end{cases}$$

This set of equations is valid for the region in the (x, y) plane $0 \leq x \leq a$, $0 \leq y \leq \min\{x, a-x\}$, as is shown in the inset of Fig.3a bounded by the dashed lines and the x -axis, but it is possible to map out the potential over the $x-y$ plane by a series of reflections based on the line-boundaries of this elemental region. It is straightforward to determine that the percolation threshold for this potential occurs at an energy $E_p^0 = eV_0/2$. In such a potential, the magnetic levels $E_n^\pm(r) = (n + 1/2)\hbar\omega_c \pm E_z/2 + eV(r)$ are inhomogeneously broadened, with the extended states located at energies $E_{pn}^\pm = (n + 1/2)\hbar\omega_c \pm E_z/2 + eV_0/2$. Here n is an integer, $\omega_c = eH_z/m^*c$ is the cyclotron frequency (m^* is a carrier effective mass) and the Zeeman splitting energy is represented by E_z . Given that the total area of transition region is relatively small ($\delta \ll a$), the density of states for a given magnetic level may be considered to consist of two peaks, sited at $E_{in}^\pm = (n + 1/2)\hbar\omega_c \pm E_z/2$ and $E_{un}^\pm = E_{in}^\pm + 2eV_0$, and since the corresponding areas of sample are equal we can assign to each such magnetic sub-level the same degeneracy factor $eH_z/2hc$.




Fig.3. a - Fermi energies E_{f1} and E_{f2} (wide solid lines) versus the cyclotron energy for two different values of the carrier concentration characterized by the zero-magnetic-field Fermi energy E_f^0 : $E_{f1}^0 = -2.2eV_0$, $E_{f2}^0 = -0.5eV_0$. The Zeeman splitting is assumed to be proportional to the cyclotron energy: $E_z = 0.6\hbar\omega_c$. $T = 0K$. Magnetic sublevels are shown by narrow solid lines and marked in accordance with the notation used in the text. The extended states of the magnetic levels are shown by dotted lines with the corresponding notation. All the energies are given in units of eV_0 . Inset: Definition of parameters describing the model potential. The squares of the chess-board are shown by solid and dotted lines for white and black squares, respectively. b - Off-diagonal conductivity σ_{xy} , calculated as described in the model, versus the cyclotron energy for the choice of parameters used in Fig.3a

The carrier concentration in each sample is fixed. As a result, the Fermi level E_f will oscillate with magnetic field due to the changing densities of states of the magnetic sublevels and, in some instances, will cross the energies of extended states (Fig.3a). The zero-magnetic-field value E_f^0 is a function of carrier concentration. In Fig.3a, oscillations of the Fermi level are shown for two values of carrier concentration. Following the generally accepted point of view we assume that the Hall conductivity σ_{xy} is equal to e^2/h times the number of delocalized bands under the Fermi level (see, for example, Ref. [8]). The corresponding dependences of conductivity σ_{xy} for the two values of carrier concentration are shown in Fig.3b. The values of integer filling factor ν shown in Fig.3b are defined in accordance with the positions of the Fermi energy discontinuities of Fig.3a and are equal to the numbers of occupied sublevels. The results of this modelling, as displayed in Fig.3b, give a good description of the apparently anomalous behaviour of σ_{xy} seen in our experimental data, including the very pronounced effect of changing the carrier concentration, provided we assume that the minima of R_{xx} in the higher temperature curves correspond to Fermi energy jumps between magnetic sublevels. We point out that the anomalies reported in Refs. [1-5] can also be ascribed to the same physical phenomena.

In proceeding to compare the model used in this work with real samples, we note first of all that a regular potential modulation is not the only effect which could give the results obtained here. The most important feature of this phenomenon is the existence of at least one peak [9] in the density of states associated with a magnetic level which is separated from the delocalized state of the level by an energy splitting exceeding the magnetic level separation. Such a situation might arise due to non-linear screening of the long-range potential fluctuations [10], for example, but this case certainly needs more detailed consideration. Some form of long-range potential modulation, regular or otherwise, is very likely to exist in our samples, but at the moment it isn't possible to identify a particular cause: possibly a departure from 2D growth, with 3D islanding occurring; or some kind of "self-organisation" process affecting the distribution of B dopant or Ge alloying atoms. In addition, if the distribution of charged impurities in our samples is random, then long-range potential fluctuations should surely be present [10]. It is noted that the experimental proof of the percolation nature of the metal-insulator transitions in sample 2 has been obtained [11] recently.

It should be made clear that we do not claim the complete quantitative explanation of our experimental results. For one thing, a form of the potential distribution in our samples is not known; and, furthermore, the ratio of the Zeeman and cyclotron energies is not known to great accuracy [3]. These are reasons why we have chosen to emphasise a description of the main effects, while not dwelling on minor differences between the experimental results and model calculations. Nevertheless, we consider that the success of our simple model in describing these non-standard features of the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations justifies our approach to the problem, and most certainly highlights an area which would benefit from more rigorous investigation, both experimental and theoretical in scope. In particular, a more accurate theoretical study of these effects should include (i) accurate calculations of both magnetoconductivities σ_{xy} and σ_{xx} , (ii) self-consistent variation of the real potential with magnetic field, (iii) homogeneous broadening of magnetic levels due to short-range potential fluctuations and, specifically for our material, (iv) the complicated energy spectrum of two-dimensional holes, with a

non-linear dependence of the magnetic level energies on field. Such considerations might result in a somewhat different picture of the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations. As for further experimental work, it would be of great interest to investigate the evolution of the magnetotransport characteristics described here in gated Si/SiGe samples with tuneable carrier concentration: this is what we hope to do in the future.

In conclusion, we have observed various striking anomalies in the magneto-transport characteristics of two-dimensional hole systems existing in a Si/SiGe heterostructure. It is argued that oscillations of the Fermi energy relative to the extended states, which do not coincide with maxima of the density of states of magnetic levels due to the presence of a smooth, long-range potential modulation, can give rise to the apparently anomalous behaviour observed. This effect is rather general and should be present in all two-dimensional electronic systems.

We would like to thank R.A. Kubiak for growth of the samples used in this study and V.T.Dolgoplov, A.A.Shashkin, and G.V.Kravchenko for assistance with measurements in the dilution refrigerator. This work was supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft with grant La 179/54-1. The financial support of INTAS is gratefully acknowledged by SID (grant 1010-CT-93-0051) and OAM/CJE (grant -93-1403). Two of us are very grateful to the Royal Society: (1) CJE, for financial support of a visit to the Institute of Solid State Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, where the experiments were performed; and (2) OAM, for a visiting fellowship at the University of Warwick.

-
1. M.D'Iorio, V.M.Pudalov, and S.G.Semenchinsky, *Phys. Lett. A* **150**, 422 (1990).
 2. M.D'Iorio, V.M.Pudalov, and S.G.Semenchinsky, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 15992 (1992).
 3. F.F.Fang, R.B.Dunford, R.G.Clark et al., *Workbook of the 11th International Conference "High Magnetic Fields in Semiconductor Physics"* (Cambridge, USA) 1994 p.680.
 4. S.I.Dorozhkin, C.J.Emeleus, T.E.Whall, and G.Landwehr, to be published in *Phys. Rev. B*
 5. H.W.Jiang, C.E.Johnson, K.L.Wangand, S.T.Hannahs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1439 (1993).
 6. S.I.Dorozhkin, *Pis'ma ZETF* **60**, 578 (1994); [*JETP Lett.* **60**, 595 (1994)].
 7. D.W.Smith, C.J.Emeleus, R.A.Kubiak et al., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **61**, 1453 (1992).
 8. B.I.Halperin, *Phys. Rev. B* **25**, 2185 (1982).
 9. Note that the existence of the upper sublevel may be not of importance; for example, as it isn't in the lower carrier density case (Fig.3a) where this sublevel does not influence the Fermi energy oscillations. It is also rather obvious that the spatial periodicity of the potential modulation is not critical for the results.
 10. A.L.Efros, *Solid State Commun.* **70**, 253 (1989).
 11. V.T.Dolgoplov et al., *Pis'ma ZETF* **62**, 152 (1995).