

CHARGED SKYRMIONS IN A SYSTEM OF 2D SPIN EXCITONS IN THE HARTREE-FOCK APPROXIMATION

Yu.A.Bychkov, T.Maniv, I.D.Vagner⁺*

*L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics RAS
117334 Moscow, Russia*

*Institut Max von Laue-Paul Langevin,
F-38042, Grenoble Cedex 9, France*

**Department of Chemistry and Solid State Institute,
Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel*

*⁺Grenoble High Magnetic Field Laboratory
F-38042, Grenoble Cedex, France*

Submitted 3 October, 1995

The existence of topological defects, known as skyrmions, within the spin excitons energy band of a 2D electron gas under a strong magnetic field at filling factor $\nu = 1$ is investigated within the Hartree-Fock approximation. Using the linear momentum representation, it is shown that the inhomogeneity created in the system by a charged skyrmion can be described by a nonuniform rotation of the spin density operators in a condensate of spin excitons.

Chiral fields, namely fields which take on values in a nonlinear space, can have some nontrivial topological invariants [1]. Thus the existence of such invariants in a physical system can lead to the creation of unusual topological defects. In particular, Belavin and Polyakov [2] have studied nonuniform metastable states of an isotropic 2D ferromagnet, i.e. a three component order parameter in a 2D coordinate space, which is known as the non-linear $O(3)$ model (see also ref.[3]). To avoid any misunderstanding we shall use, in what follows, the term skyrmions (or antiskyrmions) to describe such states for any positive (or negative) degree of map.

The possibility of observing experimentally states of this sort in real magnetic systems has been recently raised in connection with a sensitive nuclear magnetic resonance experiment [4] in which the local spin polarization of a 2D electron system was directly measured. Theoretically such a system can exhibit, at appropriate filling factors, spin excitations with topological characteristics; at filling factor $\nu = 1$, for example, where the ground state is completely spin polarized, they have been shown to be skyrmions [5-8].

Fertig et al. [9] have developed a Hartree-Fock approach to study what they termed 'charged spin-texture excitations' - the appropriate generalization of skyrmions for nonzero Zeeman splitting. They have found that their net spin is always considerably larger than $\frac{1}{2}$. This important prediction seems to be confirmed by the experiment reported in ref.[4].

In this paper we present a Hartree-Fock description of charged skyrmions in the absence of Zeeman splitting, which clearly shows the connection between these unusual point defects and the more common spin excitations (e.g. spin-excitons [10]).

We examine the properties of a system of interacting electrons confined in a 2D space under a strong magnetic field. In this system the states of a free

electron on a given Landau level is characterized, in the Landau gauge for the vector potential, by a linear momentum $p_y (\equiv p)$ and a projection of the spin. The operators \hat{a}_p (\hat{b}_p) annihilate electrons with momentum p and spin up (down).

In the absence of Zeeman splitting the Hamiltonian of the system is written as

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}, p_1, p_2} \tilde{V}(\mathbf{q}) e^{iq_y(p'_1 - p_1)} [\hat{a}_{p_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{p_2}^\dagger \hat{a}_{p_2'} \hat{a}_{p_1'} + (\hat{a} \rightarrow \hat{b}) + 2\hat{a}_{p_1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{p_2}^\dagger \hat{b}_{p_2'} \hat{a}_{p_1'}] \quad (1)$$

where $p'_1 = p_1 - q_y$, $p'_2 = p_2 + q_y$, and the effective potential is $\tilde{V}(\mathbf{q}) = e^{-q^2/2} V(\mathbf{q})$ for electrons in the lowest Landau level. Here $V(\mathbf{q})$ is the Fourier component of the interaction potential. Note that all lengths are measured here in units of magnetic length l_H .

In the Hartree-Fock approximation the mean value of the Hamiltonian (1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{H} \rangle = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}, p_1, p_2} e^{iq_y(p'_1 - p_1)} \{ [\tilde{V}(\mathbf{q}) - 2\pi E(\mathbf{q})] [\langle \hat{a}_{p_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{p_1'} \rangle \langle \hat{a}_{p_2}^\dagger \hat{a}_{p_2'} \rangle + (\hat{a} \rightarrow \hat{b})] + \\ & + \tilde{V}(\mathbf{q}) \langle \hat{a}_{p_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{p_1'} \rangle \langle \hat{b}_{p_2}^\dagger \hat{b}_{p_2'} \rangle - 2\pi E(\mathbf{q}) \langle \hat{a}_{p_1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{p_1'} \rangle \langle \hat{b}_{p_2}^\dagger \hat{a}_{p_2'} \rangle \} . \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

In Eq.(2) the energy

$$E(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \tilde{V}(\mathbf{p}) e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q}}$$

describes the dispersion law for spin excitons in the system.

Our approach to the problem of skyrmions in the two-sublevel system in the absence of Zeeman splitting is closely related to the method of isospin operators used in the study of electrons in a silicon inversion layer, which have two degenerate valleys [11]. Following ref. ([11, 12]) we introduce isospin operators

$$\hat{S}_i(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_p e^{iq_y(p+q_y/2)} C_p^\dagger \sigma_i C_{p+q_y} \quad (3)$$

where σ_i are the Pauli matrices, and $C_p \equiv (\hat{a}_p, \hat{b}_p)$.

An additional operator corresponds to nonuniform density of particles

$$\hat{N}(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \sum_p e^{iq_y(p+q_y/2)} (\hat{a}_p^\dagger \hat{a}_{p+q_y} + \hat{b}_p^\dagger \hat{b}_{p+q_y}) . \quad (4)$$

In terms of the mean values of these operators, i.e.:

$$N(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \langle \hat{N}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle$$

and

$$S(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \langle S(\mathbf{q}) \rangle .$$

Eq.(2) takes the form

$$\langle \hat{H} \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{V}(\mathbf{q}) - \pi E(\mathbf{q})] N(\mathbf{q}) N(-\mathbf{q}) - 2\pi E(\mathbf{q}) S(\mathbf{q}) \cdot S(-\mathbf{q}) \right\} . \quad (5)$$

The fully polarized state of the system, which corresponds to the filling factor $\nu = 1$, is $|\psi_0\rangle = \Pi_p \hat{a}_p^\dagger |0\rangle$.

The key element of our HF description of skyrmions is a canonical transformation

$$\hat{A}_p = \sum_{p_1} (U_{p,p_1} \hat{a}_{p_1} + V_{p,p_1} \hat{b}_{p_1}) \quad (6)$$

with the unitarity condition $\hat{U}\hat{U}^\dagger + \hat{V}\hat{V}^\dagger = 1$, which converts the fully polarized state $|\psi_0\rangle$ into a new state $|\psi\rangle = \Pi_p \hat{A}_p^\dagger |0\rangle$.

In the new state: $\langle \psi | \hat{a}_p^\dagger \hat{a}_{p'} | \psi \rangle = (\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U})_{p',p}$, $\langle \psi | \hat{b}_p^\dagger \hat{b}_{p'} | \psi \rangle = (\hat{V}^\dagger \hat{V})_{p',p}$, and $\langle \psi | \hat{a}_p^\dagger \hat{b}_{p'} | \psi \rangle = (\hat{V}^\dagger \hat{U})_{p',p}$.

To be explicit, for the skyrmion state under consideration here we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U} &= \cos \frac{\hat{\theta}}{2} e^{i\hat{\phi}/2}, \\ \hat{V} &= \sin \frac{\hat{\theta}}{2} e^{-i\hat{\phi}/2} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the operators $\hat{\theta}$, and $\hat{\phi}$, which describe nonuniform rotation, should be projected on the lowest Landau level. Thus the corresponding matrix elements are:

$$(\hat{\theta})_{p,p'} = [\theta(r)]_{p,p'} = \sum_q \theta(q) (e^{iq \cdot r})_{p,p'} \equiv \sum_q \theta(q) (\hat{\rho}_q)_{p,p'} \quad (8)$$

where $\theta(q)$ is the Fourier transform of the angle $\theta(r)$ with respect to coordinates. A similar expression can be written for $(\hat{\phi})_{p,p'}$.

With the help of Eqs.(3), (4), (7) we obtain the following results:

$$S_z(q) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_p e^{iq \cdot (p+q_v/2)} (e^{-i\hat{\phi}/2} \cos \hat{\theta} e^{i\hat{\phi}/2} + e^{i\hat{\phi}/2} \cos \hat{\theta} e^{-i\hat{\phi}/2})_{p+q_v,p}, \quad (9)$$

$$S_+(q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_p e^{iq \cdot (p+q_v/2)} (e^{i\hat{\phi}/2} \sin \hat{\theta} e^{i\hat{\phi}/2})_{p+q_v,p} \quad (10)$$

and

$$N(q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(q) + \delta N(q) \quad (11)$$

with

$$\delta N(q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_p e^{iq \cdot (p+q_v/2)} (e^{-i\hat{\phi}/2} \cos \hat{\theta} e^{i\hat{\phi}/2} - e^{i\hat{\phi}/2} \cos \hat{\theta} e^{-i\hat{\phi}/2})_{p+q_v,p}. \quad (12)$$

We are interested here in the case when the spatial dependence of the angles $\theta(r)$, and $\phi(r)$ is sufficiently smooth so that the characteristic length scale for the coordinate dependence is much longer then the magnetic length. Our main goal is to express the energy of the system in the HF approximation (Eq.(2)) as a functional of the spin and particle densities, which includes terms up to second order in a gradient expansion.

Let us consider the correction $\delta N(q)$ (Eq.(12)) to the density of particles due to our nonuniform rotation of the spin density. Taking advantage of the smooth

spatial behavior of the rotation angles, which results in a small commutator $[\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta}]$, and the approximate identity

$$e^A e^B \approx (1 + [A, B]) e^B e^A \quad (13)$$

which is valid for any two operators A and B of this type, we get after very simple calculations

$$\delta N(\mathbf{q}) \approx \frac{i}{2} \sum_p e^{iq_x(p+q_y/2)} ([\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta}] \sin \hat{\theta})_{p+q_y, p} \quad (14)$$

A similar expression can be derived for the spin density, i.e.

$$S_z(\mathbf{q}) \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_p e^{iq_x(p+q_y/2)} (\cos \hat{\theta})_{p+q_y, p} \quad (15)$$

while for $S_x(S_y)$ we should replace $\cos \hat{\theta}$ in Eq.(15) with $\sin \hat{\theta} \cos \hat{\phi}$ ($\sin \hat{\theta} \sin \hat{\phi}$).

For the small values of q considered in our case [13]:

$$[\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{q}_1}, \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{q}_2}] \approx -i([\mathbf{q}_1 \times \mathbf{q}_2] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2}, \quad (16)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is a unit vector along the field direction.

Our final result for the correction to the density of particles in coordinate representation

is thus

$$\delta N(\mathbf{r}) \approx -\frac{1}{4\pi} \{[\nabla \phi(\mathbf{r}) \times \nabla \theta(\mathbf{r})] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}\} \sin \theta(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (\mathbf{n} \cdot [\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial x} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial y}]) \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$ is a unit vector field defined by the rotation angles $\theta(\mathbf{r})$, and $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ as:

$$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta) \quad .$$

This vector is proportional to the mean value of the spin density operator, i.e.

$$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r})$$

which has nonzero transversal (i.e. $x-y$) components only when the number of spin excitons in the system is *macroscopic*. It is also easy to check that the part of the energy associated with the spin density.

Eqs.(9), (10), can be written as

$$\delta E\{\mathbf{S}\} = \frac{1}{32\pi} E(0) \int (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}))^2 d^2r \quad (18)$$

so that the total HF energy can be written as a functional of a unit vector field $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$:

$$E_{HF} \approx -\frac{1}{8\pi} E(0) \int d^2r (\mathbf{n} \cdot [\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial x} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial y}]) + \frac{1}{32\pi} E(0) \int (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}))^2 d^2r \quad (19)$$

Note that since the spatial dependence of the angles $\theta(\mathbf{r})$, $\phi(\mathbf{r})$ is yet unspecified the vectorial field $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$ is absolutely arbitrary, except for the fact that its norm is unity, and so the energy functional in Eq.(19) is only a variational form.

The integral

$$Q \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2r (\mathbf{n} \cdot [\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial x} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial y}]) \quad (20)$$

is equal, due to Eq.(17), to the total number of particles added to, or removed from the system by going from the fully polarized ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ to the new state $|\psi\rangle$. It is known to be topologically invariant [1-3], that is invariant under any smooth variation $\delta \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$, and its values can be only integer numbers.

The new state $|\psi\rangle$ is therefore a topological defect characterized by the degree of map Q ; it is positive for a skyrmion and negative for an antiskyrmion. According to well known results [2, 3] the minimal energy of a skyrmion for any given degree of map Q corresponds to the condition

$$\int (\vec{\nabla} \mathbf{n})^2 d^2r = 2 \left| \int d^2r (\mathbf{n} \cdot [\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial x} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial y}]) \right|. \quad (21)$$

Thus for a given degree of map Q the minimal energy of a skyrmion is

$$E_{sk} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{e^2}{\kappa l_H} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} (|Q| - 2Q). \quad (22)$$

In the case $|Q|=1$ this result coincides with that obtained numerically by Fertig et al. ([9]).

It is very important to stress here that the spin-rotation transformation (7) is unitary and does not change the total number of electrons. Thus by going to the new state $|\psi\rangle$ from the fully polarized ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ the total topological charge does not change either. This can be done only by creating these topological defects in pairs of well separated skyrmions and the corresponding antiskyrmions with equal and opposite charges. The total energy of such a skyrmion - antiskyrmion pair, with degree of map $Q=1$, is exactly equal to one half of the total energy required to create a well separated electron-hole pair (large spin exciton).

Since Zeeman spin splitting is completely neglected in our model, its $O(3)$ symmetry is fully preserved, and there is no definite length scale for skyrmions. In this limiting case the skyrmion energy (Eq.(22)) is independent of the skyrmion size, provided, of course, that it is much larger than the magnetic length l_H .

The authors thank V. Fleurov, M. Potemski and P. Wyder for valuable discussions.

T.M. and I.V. acknowledge that this research was supported by a grant from the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development, No. I-0222-136.07/91 and by the fund for the promotion of research at the Technion. Yu.A.B. thanks W. Apel for numerous invaluable discussions and ILL for hospitality. Yu. A. B. acknowledges the support of the INTAS-94-4055 project.

-
1. B.A.Dubrovinn, S.R.Novikov, and A.T.Fomenko, *Contemporary Geometry*, vol.2, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1986.
 2. A.A.Belavin and A.M.Polyakov, *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **22**, 503 (1975) (*JETP Lett.* **22**, 245 (1975)).

3. R.Rajaraman, *Solitons and Instantons*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
4. S.E.Barret, G.Dabbagh, L.N.Pfeifer et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 5112 (1995).
5. D.H.Lee and C.L.Kane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1313 (1990).
6. Yu.A.Bychkov, *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **55**, 177 (1992) (*JETP Lett.* **55**, 170 (1992)).
7. S.L.Sondhi, A.Karlhede, S.A.Kivelson, and E.H.Rezayi, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 16419 (1993).
8. K.Moon, H.Mori, Kun Yang et al., *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 5138 (1995).
9. H.A.Fertig, L.Brey, R.Cote, and A.H.MacDonald, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 11018 (1994).
10. Ya.A.Bychkov, S.V.Iordanskii, and G.M.Eliashberg, *JETP Lett.* **33**, 143 (1981); C.Kallin and B.I.Halperin, *Phys. Rev. B* **30**, 5655 (1984).
11. M.Rasolt, B.I.Halperin, and D.Vanderbilt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 126 (1986).
12. Ya.A.Bychkov and S.V.Iordanskii, *Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad)* **29**, 2442 (1987) [*Sov. Phys. Solid State* **29**, 1405 (1987)].
13. S.M.Girvin and T. Jach, *Phys. Rev. B* **29**, 5617 (1984).